

UDC 622.276.4

Filipiev H.S. student group 185m-23-2**Scientific supervisor: Khomenko V.L., Candidate of Sciences, Senior Lecturer of the Oil and Gas Engineering and Drilling Department***(Dnipro University of Technology, Dnipro, Ukraine)*

METHODS FOR RHEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF DRILLING FLUIDS

Introduction

During the drilling of oil and gas wells, drilling fluids perform a multitude of critical functions, including the removal of cuttings, cooling the drilling tool, stabilizing the wellbore, and creating hydrostatic pressure to prevent formation fluid ingress. The rheological properties of these fluids, which describe their flow behavior and resistance to shear forces, are essential to maintaining well control and the efficiency of drilling operations.

The control of drilling fluid rheological properties is a fundamental task in ensuring the safety, effectiveness, and cost-efficiency of drilling operations. Incorrectly selected or poorly controlled rheological parameters can lead to various complications, such as differential sticking, cuttings settling, or even wellbore collapse. Therefore, continuous monitoring and adjustment of these properties are required throughout all drilling stages to stabilize the wellbore, optimize hydraulic systems, and minimize drilling costs.

Results

Various instruments and methods are utilized to measure the rheological properties of drilling fluids, each offering specific advantages and limitations depending on the operational requirements.

Rotational viscometers are one of the most commonly used mechanical methods for measuring rheological properties. Their main advantage lies in their high accuracy and ability to provide a complete rheological curve, allowing the assessment of both viscosity and yield point. These instruments, however, require careful maintenance and may be challenging to operate in field settings, particularly under extreme conditions [1]. The need for periodic calibration and sensitivity to environmental factors can impact their use, especially when continuous measurements are necessary for effective real-time control.

Capillary viscometers offer a straightforward and reliable method for assessing the viscosity of low-viscosity fluids. Their simple design and ease of use make them suitable for both laboratory and field applications [2]. However, they are limited in their ability to measure the rheological properties of complex or structured fluids, with additional challenges in assessing properties at high temperatures and pressures. For complex drilling fluids with significant structural components, other methods may be preferable.

Pipe viscometers allow for viscosity measurements under continuous flow conditions, making them beneficial for evaluating the properties of drilling fluids during circulation. The main advantage of this method is its capability to provide data that closely represent the fluid's behavior in actual flow conditions, thereby offering insights that are directly relevant to the fluid's real-time performance. However, the method may be complex to configure and requires precise calibration to ensure data accuracy, particularly when used in well conditions.

Ultrasonic viscometers provide a contactless approach to measuring the viscosity and density of fluids, which is advantageous in aggressive environments or under extreme temperatures and pressures. This technique enables real-time data collection, which is critical for operational control. The limitations include the higher cost of the equipment and the need for complex setup and calibration, which can restrict its use to specific scenarios where high accuracy and robustness are essential.

The Marsh funnel is a simple and rapid method for viscosity assessment, widely applied in field settings due to its ease of use. Its primary advantage is accessibility and simplicity, making it an essential tool for quick, on-site analysis [3]. However, this method provides only a rough estimation of viscosity and cannot precisely measure other rheological parameters, such as yield point or structural changes. It serves as a preliminary assessment tool but lacks the precision for detailed fluid characterization.

Selecting the optimal method for measuring drilling fluid rheology is a complex process influenced by numerous factors, including specific drilling conditions and operational objectives. A crucial aspect of this decision is balancing accuracy with operational efficiency. In field settings, where timely decision-making is vital, high-precision methods may be too cumbersome or slow for immediate application. Conversely, simple tools enable rapid assessments but only provide an approximate viscosity measure. This creates a trade-off between the need for quick diagnostics and the pursuit of detailed data.

Another key consideration is the ability to perform measurements under real drilling conditions, which frequently involve extreme temperatures, high pressures, and chemically aggressive environments. Mechanical methods, such as rotational viscometers, can be difficult to operate due to the direct contact between the instrument and the fluid, as well as the complexities involved in equipment maintenance. In these cases, non-contact methods like ultrasonic viscometers are often preferred for their robustness in extreme conditions, though they demand advanced, costly equipment.

The characteristics of the drilling fluid itself also play an essential role. For high-viscosity or structurally complex fluids, traditional mechanical methods may be less effective due to clogging risks or frequent calibration needs. Selecting a method that can accurately measure these properties without compromising precision is essential to avoid costly interruptions in drilling operations. In sum, the optimal selection depends on a thorough evaluation of factors such as accuracy requirements, operating conditions, fluid characteristics, economic efficiency, and equipment availability.

Conclusions

The challenge of choosing an optimal method for measuring drilling fluid rheology lies in balancing measurement precision and operational speed, particularly in field environments. Considerations must include not only the specific drilling conditions, such as high temperatures and pressures, but also the unique properties of the drilling fluid itself, necessitating a flexible approach. Both contact and non-contact measurement techniques have distinct strengths and weaknesses, and the choice largely depends on factors such as technical limitations, economic considerations, and environmental constraints.

References:

1. Kozhevnykov, A., Khomenko, V., Liu ,B. C., Kamyshatskiy, O., Pashchenko, O. (2020). The history of gas hydrates studies: from laboratory curiosity to a new fuel alternative. *Key Engineering Materials*. Trans Tech Publications Ltd. – T. 844. – P. 49-64. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.844.49>.
2. Biletskiy, M. T., Ratov, B. T., Khomenko, V. L., Borash, B. R., & Borash, A. R. (2022). Increasing the Mangystau peninsula underground water reserves utilization coefficient by establishing the most effective method of drilling water supply wells. *News of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan*, 5(455), 51-62. <https://doi.org/10.32014/2518-170X.217>
3. Biletskiy M.T., Ratov B.T., Khomenko V.L., Borash A.R., & Muratova S.K. (2024). The choice of optimal methods for the development of water wells in the conditions of the Tonirekshin field (Kazakhstan). *Naukovyi Visnyk Natsionalnoho Hirnychoho Universytetu*, 1, 13-19. <https://doi.org/10.33271/nvngu/2024-1/013>.