INCREASE OF ENTERPRISE COMPETITIVE ABILITY BY IN-TIME EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION

Scientific – technological progress in conditions of megapolis brings certain tendencies in interaction of marketing environment and rival industrial enterprises activity. To increase competitive ability industrial enterprises need to substitute out - of - date products. In this situation the state plays the main role, for example, its housing and public utilities reforming develops competitive environment in this field.

The principle of cost minimization overlords in housing and public utilities, causing many dangerous tendencies. As a rule, citizens pay for household waste scavenging, but the state has also to subsidize this process. Constant budget dependence leads to spottiness, low investment activity and vague infrastructure development.

In long term competitive ability of organizations scavenging household waste depends on time. From one hand, such an organization should be competitive for a long period of time, from the other hand, it is almost impossible to keep a constant level of competitive ability because of a great number of contradictory factors. Being influenced by external factors, such as scientific – technological progress and competition in the field, competitive ability of an organization could become worse, if necessary steps, such as in – time modernization or fund renewal, are not made.


The authors analyzed cost structure of the organization, scavenging household waste in SWAD of Moscow (Cheryomushky). It was found out that refuse receptacle park service costs was 20%. It can't help influencing its technical – economic rates, life cycle duration and competitive ability level. In this situation it is necessary to reduce costs by in – time modernization and equipment replacement, its optimal service and maintenance with consideration of external factors influence and human resources.

Any equipment has its individual maintenance cost. The system of cost consideration should be provided with the information about unsuitable refuse receptacles, unprofitable maintenance and proper refuse receptacles.


To solve this task we used the method of equipment replacement term on the basis of maintenance cost consideration and of the field marketing research. We analyzed and compared using of two types of refuse receptacles (0,8 and 1,1 m³) in Cheryomushky district. Initial data and calculation are presented in table 1, graphs 1, 2.

Table 1 Calculation of minimum refuse receptacle (0,8/1,1 m³) maintenance total cost on the basis of work volume (HW)

off the custs of work votatile (1144)								
Year	HW volume with progressive total, th. kg/year	Annual maintenance cost, rub.	Maintenance cost with progressive total, rub.	Maintenance cost on 1 HW kg to the end of the period, rub. $f_1(x)$	Refuse receptacle cost to the end of the period, rub.	Used capital to the end of the period, rub.	Used capital on 1 HW kg to the end of the period, rub. $f_2(x)$	Total cost on 1 HW kg., rub. F(x)
1	54,8/75,3	0/0	0/0	0/0	4600/ 11300	1100/ 1700	20,07299/ 22,57636	20,07299/ 22,57636
2	109,6/ 150,6	500/100	500/100	4,562044/ 0,664011	3400/ 9900	2300/ 3100	20,9854/ 20,58433	25,54745/ 21,24834
3	164,4/	1000/30	1500/	9,124088/	2240/	3460/	21,04623/	30,17032/
	225,9	0	400	1,770695	8600	4400	19,47764	21,24834
4	219,2/	1200/50	2700/	12,31752/	1140/	4560/	20,80292/	33,12044/
	301,2	0	900	2,988048	7600	5400	17,92829	20,91633
5	274/	1500/	4200/	15,32847/	200/	5500/	20,07299/	35,40146/
	376,5	1000	1900	5,046481	7100	5900	15,67065	20,71713
6	328,8/	5700/	9900/	30,10949/	5700/	0/	0/	30,10949/
	451,8	1200	3100	6,861443	7000	6000	13,28021	20,14166
7	383,6/ 527,1	0/1500	9900/ 4600	25,80813/ 8,726997	4600/ 6900	1100/ 6100	2,86757/ 11,57276	28,6757/ 20,29975
8	438,4/	500/200	10400/	23,72263/	3400/	2300/	5,24635/	28,96898/
	602,4	0	6600	10,95618	5800	7200	11,95219	22,90837
9	493,2/	1000/	11400/	23,11436/	2240/	3460/	7,01541/	30,12976/
	677,7	2100	8700	12,83754	4700	8300	12,24731	25,08485
10	548/753	1200/ 2500	12600/ 11200	22,9927/ 14,87384	1140/ 3000	4560/ 10000	8,321168/ 13,28021	31,31387/ 28,15405



Gr.1. Cost and work volume (0,8 m³refuse receptacles) correlation



Gr.2. Cost and work volume (1,1 m³ refuse receptacles) correlation

According to the graphs 1, 2 European refuse receptacles have the longest life cycle and period of service, a 0,8 m³ refuse receptacle needs to be replaced every 5 years. Larger European refuse receptacles can serve longer than 8 years. Their maintenance costs less than maintenance of the others. So we can conclude that European refuse receptacles use is more profitable for this organization and provides high long – term competitive ability.